

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08/988,439

Applicant(s)

Gong

Examiner

Scott T. Baderman

Group Art Unit

2785

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott T. Baderman

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Gene Molinelli

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 5, 2000Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 10, 16, 19

Identification of prior art discussed:

Atsatt et. al. (5,758,153)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Parties discussed claims 1, 10, 19 in light of the previous response (Paper #9). It was determined that the reference above does not teach an association between the classes and the protection domain. Previous response is sufficient for <sup>explaining</sup> this distinction. As for claims 7 + 16, the reference above does not teach "Sources of code" associated with protection domains. No further response is necessary since pending <sup>claims</sup> overcome above reference. The examiner will conduct an updated search and if nothing is found, the case would be allowed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Pending updated searchSA 5/5/00

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.